# Hybrid Small-scale Two-point Modeling with HZPT

Jamie Sullivan (work w/ Uroš Seljak & Sukhdeep Singh <u>arXiv:2104.10676</u>)





# Goals

- I. Demonstrate potential of analytic **hybrid** models for modern two-point analysis
- II. Explain how Halo Zeldovich Perturbation Theory accurately models small-scale effects of:
  - Halo profiles and baryons
  - Halo exclusion
  - Satellite galaxies

In configuration **and** Fourier space!

### **Overview**

Introduce HZPT

- Matter and Baryons
- Halos and Exclusion
- **HOD Mock Galaxies**
- **Future Directions**

### **HZPT** Outline

Halo-Zeldovich Perturbation Theory - a compromise between the halo model and perturbation theory

PT instead of standard 2-halo term, generalize 1-halo term

$$P_{\text{halo}}(k) = P_{2-\text{halo}}(k) + P_{1-\text{halo}}(k)$$
$$P_{\text{HZPT}}(k) = P_{\text{ZA}}(k) + P_{\text{BB}}(k)$$
$$P_{\text{PT}}(k) = P_{\text{PT}}(k) \quad [+P_{\text{stoch.}}(k)]$$

Model parameters (for matter) are all in BB:

<u>Mohammed & Seljak</u> - r<sup>2n</sup> moments of (unknown) profile:

$$P_{1h}(k) = A_0(1 - \tilde{R}_1^2 k^2 + \tilde{R}_2^4 k^4 + \dots)$$

Seljak & Vlah - blows up at high-k so use Padé approximant instead, add compensation

$$P_{BB}(k) = \underline{A_0} F(k) \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{m=n_{\max}-1} (k\underline{R_m})^{2m}}{\sum_{n=0}^{n=n_{\max}} (k\underline{R_{nh}})^{2n}} \quad F(k) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + k^2 \underline{R^2}}\right)$$

#### Zeldovich

Replace standard 2-halo with ZA - get bulk flows

Broadband Beyond Zeldovich (BB)

Reprocess k<sup>2</sup> expansion of halo profile through Padé

Compensation

Suppress mass conservation violating white noise



#### Zeldovich

Replace standard 2-halo with ZA - get bulk flows

#### Broadband Beyond Zeldovich (BB)

Reprocess k<sup>2</sup> expansion of halo profile through Padé

Compensation

Suppress mass conservation violating white noise



Zeldovich

Replace standard 2-halo with ZA - get bulk flows

Broadband Beyond Zeldovich (BB)

Reprocess k<sup>2</sup> expansion of halo profile through Padé

#### Compensation

Suppress mass conservation violating white noise



# **Bracketing Baryonic Effects**

Baryonic effects impact small-scale matter distribution

Can be understood through impact on halo profiles

Test HZPT using power spectrum ratios from hydrodynamical simulations

(OWLS, EAGLE, Massive-Black 2, Illustris, Horizon-AGN) as used in Huang et al. 2019

### **Bracketing Baryonic Effects**

#### Base model 1% accurate at k < 1 h/Mpc



### **Extended Matter Models**

1-halo extension: Add extra Padé term ( $n_{max} = 3$ )

2-halo extension: Use alternative to ZA or k<sup>2n</sup> transfer function

$$P_{\text{alt,HZPT}}(k) = \left(1 + \alpha k^2 + \beta k^4\right) P_{\text{alt}}(k) + P_{BB}(k)$$

### **Extended Matter Models**



### **Dark Matter - Cosmology Dependence**

Limited comparison at z = 0 using  $\Omega_{cb} \& \sigma_8$ 

Sample from MiraTitan CosmicEmu (Lawrence++2017)

 $\Omega_{cb} \in [.26,.34], \sigma_8 \in [.7,.9]$ 

Fit simple power laws of HZPT parameters in cosmology

### **Dark Matter - Cosmology Dependence**

#### Power law cosmology fits on par with HMCode2020







Halo correlation functions well-described Bin 5:  $\log M_h \in [12.5, 13]$ by three scales 25 -را ۲ <sup>20</sup> ک 1. Large-scale enhancement 23 over ZA 15 $b_{1,ZA}^{\{-1,$ 2. Small-scale enhancement 10 over ZA ZA 3. Halo exclusion scale hm – sim hh – sim 10<sup>0</sup> 10<sup>1</sup> 10<sup>2</sup>

HZPT fits well down to exclusion scale Scales change with mass bin



Base model for halo matter with linear bias good to 1 h/Mpc



Model exclusion in halo-halo power spectrum

PT bias style free scale-independent shot noise model with  $n_{max}$ =1 works but struggles at high k

Exclusion improves this with **no** additional parameters

$$P_{hh}^{(d)}(k) = \frac{1}{\bar{n}} + P_{hh}^{(c)}(k) - V_{\text{excl}}\left(W_R(k) + \left[W_R * P_{hh}^{(c)}\right](k)\right)$$

Exclusion (& small/large-scale enhancements) matter in Phh!



Exclusion (& small/large-scale enhancements) matter in Phh!



### Galaxies

Galaxy two-point statistics are more complicated than halos

Take a pragmatic minimal model approach

HZPT as an effective model for all contributions

Need to add satellites on small scales

# **Two HOD samples**

Simple Zheng++07 HOD

Wide hypercube design

CMASS-like sample (Approx Reid++14)

LOWZ-like sample (Close to Wibking++19)

(w/ cosmology)



### **HOD Galaxies - 2PCF accuracy**

Correlation functions are accurate to 1-2% to r of 1-2 Mpc/h for both sets of HOD



### **HOD Galaxies - Power spectrum accuracy**

Power spectra are accurate to 1-2% to k of 1 h/Mpc for both sets of HOD as well



### **Galaxies - Density estimation**

Train on 10 Aemulus cosmologies, 200 HOD (LOWZ-like)

Use normalizing flow (Dai+Seljak 20)



27

### **Future and Extensions**

Realistic application to projected statistics

Quantify effect of priors on posterior

Potential increased range by balancing augmented ZA 2-halo with BB for tracers (especially cross-correlation)

### Conclusions

- ZA plus Padé-style term is a good ansatz for 2-point correlators in configuration AND Fourier space down to small scales
- Baryons can be modeled in Padé-style term through profile parameters
- Halo-halo correlators require exclusion modeling, which eliminates free shot noise, and is a complete description on all scales in HZPT
- Analytic hybrid modeling of galaxy correlators can capture GHC as modeled by broad HOD parameter space to profile-dominated scales
- Parameters in terms of scales, fast evaluation, gradients available